1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 08-0730 WHA Plaintiff,

ORDER RE DEFENDANT v. RRERA'S REQUEST IVAN CERNA, et al., FOR RECONSIDERATION AND CLARIFICATION Defendants.

Counsel for defendant Herrera filed two ex parte motions on July 10 (the deadline for the filing of Stage Two motions). A prior order denied the motions on the grounds that the Court could not address the issues counsel raised on an entirely secret, ex parte and under seal basis. The order gave counsel the option of withdrawing the motions or filing them publicly. Counsel has now filed a request for reconsideration of that ruling in which he asks that the two motions be lodged ex parte at this time only to reserve the issues, but that the motions be litigated sometime in the future when (in counsel's view) the issues become ripe for adjudication.

The request for reconsideration is **DENIED**. The motions are within the ambit of Stage Two motions and must be filed at this time. Counsel, however, may have yet one more opportunity to file the motions publicly if he wishes to do so. Counsel must file the motions by WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 5, 2009, AT NOON (in which case an expedited briefing schedule will be

| 1 | established). Otherwise, the motions will be deemed withdrawn. In that instance, the motions |
|----------|--|
| 2 | will remain filed for the record only but will be terminated. |
| 3 | * * * |
| 4 | Counsel also requests clarification of the September 30, 2009, deadline in the final |
| 5 | scheduling order. The scheduling order stated (Dkt. No. 265): |
| 6 | 14. To address a cascade of ever-growing superseding indictments, any additional charges added to this case after |
| 7 | SEPTEMBER 30, 2009, shall be presumptively severed. This is without prejudice to filing charges against others in a separate |
| 8 | indictment in a new action. This prosecution has been pending since October 16, 2008. Allowing until the end of September |
| 9 | 2009 will give the government ample time to make whatever further additions it deems necessary to the present pleading. |
| 10 | Contrary to counsel, the government can add new charges against already indicted defendants |
| 11 | after September 30, 2009, but those charges will presumptively be severed. The Court cannot |
| 12 | prevent the government from bringing new charges against defendants already indicted herein if |
| 13 | it so chooses. The Court can, however, require in appropriate circumstances that any such new |
| 14 15 | charges be severed and addressed in a different trial. The final scheduling order therefore states |
| 16 | that "any additional charges added to this case after SEPTEMBER 30, 2009, shall be |
| 17 | presumptively severed." |
| 18 | |
| 19 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 20 | Win Alma |
| 21 | Dated: August 3, 2009. WILLIAM ALSUP |
| 22 | United States District Judge |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |